### 9.0 Complaints Monitoring

The following information relates to complaints recorded between 01/01/20 and 31/03/20. There were no Stage 2 complaints and 2 complaints related to adjoining owners.

## 9.1 Complaints Received

Stage	Social rented	Managed properties	Total received	Equalities complaints	Escalated from 1 to 2
Stage 1 (frontline)	9	2	11	0	0
Stage 2 (investigation)	0	0	0	0	0
Total	9	2	11	0	0

The following table shows a comparison between the current and previous 3 month period:

STAGE	Oct -	Jan -	Oct -	Jan -
	Dec	March	Dec	March
	2019	2020	2019	20
	Social Rented	Social Rented	Managed Properties	Managed Properties
1	37	9	1	2
(Frontline)	(90.2%)	(81.8%)	(2.7%)	(18.2%)
2	4	0	0	0
(Investigation)	(9.75%)	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)
TOTAL	41	9	1	2
	(97.6%)	(81.8%)	(2.7%)	(18.2%)

**Comment:** The number of overall complaints decreased by 31 compared to the previous quarter (11 complaints processed). There were no Stage 2

complaints compared to 2 in the previous period. The split and volume cause no concern. No complaints related to equality issues and no complaints were escalated from Stage 1 to 2.

Recommendation: Continue to monitor as necessary.

# 9.2 Complaint Category

Department	Category	Number received
Corporate	Finance	-
services	Communications	-
	Tenant participation	-
	Governance	-
	Staff conduct	-
Tenant	New Build issues	-
Services	Application/allocation	1
	Estate Management	2
	Rent/arrears control	2
	Tenancy enforcement	3
	Factoring	-
	Staff conduct	-
	Development	-
	Reactive repairs	1
	Planned works	-
	Recharges	-
	Contractor conduct	2
	Total	11

**Comment:** The breakdown of complaints by department and category are mainly as one may have predicted. Staff are not unduly concerned about the volume or split of categories.

Recommendation: Continue to monitor, as necessary.

### 9.3 Complaint Outcomes

Stage	Responded to in full	Upheld	Not upheld
Stage 1 (frontline)	11 (100%)	6 (54.5%)	5 (45.5%)
Stage 2 (investigation)	0	0	0

Stage	Average response	Responded within target	Extension authorised
Stage 1 (frontline- 5 day target)	2.9 days	10 (100%)	0 (0%)
Stage 2 (investigation- 20 day target)	0 days	0	0

**Comment:** The term 'responded to in full' is where the landlord has either met the service user's expectations or, where this is not appropriate, provided a full explanation of the landlord's position. Complaints are viewed as upheld and made in the complainant's favour if there is no corroboration or supporting evidence to support otherwise: complaint upheld is the default. Six complaints were upheld during the quarter. For Stage 1 Complaints the average response slightly decreased from 3.6 days to 2.9. There were no Stage 2 Complaints for this period.

Recommendation: Continue to monitor standard target timescales.

### 9.4 Learning Outcomes

Learning outcomes will be shared with staff quarterly at the staff meeting following the Committee meeting. Examples of learning outcomes in this quarter are as follows:

- Condition of close at Myles House. Contractor reminded to follow specification to improve standards.
- Landscaping Contractor reminded of properties under contract at Dunblane to avoid shrubbery being pruned that is out with contract.
- Close cleaning contractors advised not to open close doors with screwdrivers and to obtain close key.

Recommendation: That Committee members note the learning outcomes outlined above.